

Synthesis of 9β-Methyl-2-alkyl-7-oxo-5-arylmorphans

James B. Thomas,^a Xiaoling Zheng,^a Lawrence E. Brieaddy,^a
Jason P. Burgess,^a S. Wayne Mascarella,^a Scott E. Fix,^a Buddy E. Cantrell,^b
Dennis M. Zimmerman,^b and F. Ivy Carroll^a,*

^aChemistry and Life Sciences, Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, USA, ^bLilly Research Laboratories, Eli Lilly and Company, Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, Indiana 46285, USA

Received 6 May 1998; accepted 15 June 1998

Abstract: A convergent synthetic approach to 9β-methyl-2-alkyl-7-oxo-5-arylmorphans has been developed utilizing alkylation of the metalloenamine of 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-aryl-1-alkylpyridines with 2-(chloromethyl)-3,5-dioxahex-1-ene (Okahara's reagent). © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The 5-arylmorphans have been extensively investigated as potential small-molecule analogs of the prototypical mu opioid agonist, morphine $1.^{1-4}$ Recently, Rice and coworkers used the 7-oxo derivative 2, which was prepared by the method reported by Bosch and coworkers (see Scheme 1),⁵ as starting material in the synthesis of novel delta opioid receptor subtype-selective agonists.^{6,7} N-Substituted 9 β -methyl-5-(3-hydroxyphenyl)morphans (3) can be viewed as conformationally rigid analogs of the important N-substituted trans-3,4-dimethylphenylpiperidine (4) class of opioid antagonists.⁸ In this study, we report a stereoselective synthesis of 9 β -methyl-7-oxo-5-arylmorphans, a conformationally stable analog of 4, which can be converted to opioid receptor-selective antagonists.

While the route shown in Scheme 1 is a useful source of 2, it is not applicable to a synthesis of the 9β -methyl derivatives based on the findings of Martinelli and coworkers. In their synthesis of the analgesic Picenadol 14 (Scheme 2), it was clearly demonstrated that a 3-methyl group (phenyl piperidine numbering) exerts a dramatic directing effect in the conjugate addition reaction of enone 11 as only compound 12 was obtained with none of 13 being detected. This is completely opposite the facial selectivity required for the preparation of the title compound. Compound 12, if carried forward according to Bosch's method, would give the 9α isomer. Martinelli's results, therefore, firmly established the need for an alternative synthetic approach to the 7-oxo- 9β -methylphenylmorphan derivatives.

In 1980, Evans¹⁰ and coworkers prepared the parent phenylmorphan system by treating metalloenamines generated from compounds similar to **15b,c** (Scheme 3) with allylbromide followed by cyclization in 1:1

Scheme 1. Bosch's Synthetic Route to 2-Methyl-5-Phenylmorphan

Scheme 2. Martinelli's Synthesis of Picenadol

mixture of phosphoric and formic acids. Therefore, it seemed reasonable that a properly masked acetonyl equivalent might also alkylate such metalloenamines and that under acidic conditions the acetonyl equivalent could be unmasked and cyclized in a single step. Most importantly, since this product arises from the intermediate that places the alkyl group opposite the face of cyclization, we reasoned that a substituent R₁ in 16a would favor the 9 β stereochemistry in the final product 17a. While many acetonyl equivalents are available, 2-(chloromethyl)-3,5-dioxahex-1-ene 18 (Okahara's reagent)^{11,12} was most suited to the reaction conditions. Thus, treatment of the lithium salt of 15a with 18 provided 16a (not isolated) which cyclized on acidification with hydrochloric acid in tetrahydrofuran to give a 10:1 mixture of 17a and 17d as determined by

¹H NMR analysis. Separation by silica gel chromatography provided 43% of 17a. Proton assignments were made using a combination of HMQC, HMBC, and COSY. The 9 β stereochemical assignments for 17a were made using NOESY techniques. In particular, the axial 9 β -methyl group was observed to show an NOE interaction with the 4 β proton.¹³

Scheme 3

To expand this method to the ring unsubstituted derivatives and to explore potential limitations of the chemistry, compounds 17b (47%) and 17c (42%) were also prepared. It was shown earlier that differences in reactivities exist between unsubstituted and substituted systems, 15b,c and 15a. For example, s-BuLi is needed to effectively deprotonate 15a as opposed to 15b and 15c which require only n-BuLi. In our studies we found that only the simple N-alkyl analogs of 15 (methyl) could be alkylated when the compounds possessed a 3-methyl substituent. Neither the N-benzyl nor the N-(3-phenylpropyl) derivative could be alkylated with 18. Furthermore, it was found that 15a required tetramethylethylene diamine (TMEDA) addition during deprotonation. To our knowledge no other alkylations of this type have required this additive. Nevertheless, this is a convenient route to the 7-oxo-phenylmorphan derivatives from either substituted or unsubstituted 4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridines from intermediates which can be prepared in bulk and stored for long periods of time.

Compounds 17b and 17c can be used as more readily available starting materials to prepare the opioid receptor delta subtype selective agonists reported by Rice and coworkers.^{6,7} In addition, the ready availability of 17a opens the opportunity to develop potential opioid subtype-selective antagonists. Studies along these lines are underway and will be reported in due course.

In summary, we have shown that the 9β -methyl-7-oxo-5-arylmorphan 17a can be prepared in a convergent manner from tetrahydropyridine 15a by alkylation with 2-(chloromethyl)-3,5-dioxahex-1-ene 18 followed by cyclization under acidic conditions. This method provides the first reported access to the 9β -methyl substituted system with good control of the stereochemistry. Application of the method to 15b and 15c provides a higher yielding route to the unsubstituted 7-oxo-phenylmorphan ring system and is amenable to large-scale synthesis.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse, Grant DA09045.

References and Notes

- Awaya, H.; May, E. L.; Aceto, M. D.; Merz, H.; Rogers, M. E.; Harris, L. S. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 536-539.
- 2. Awaya, H.; May, E. L.; Jacobson, A. E.; Aceto, M. D. J. Pharm. Sci. 1984, 73, 1867-1868.
- 3. Burke Jr., T. R.; Jacobson, A. E.; Rice, K. C.; Weissman, B. A.; Huang, H.-C.; Silverton, J. V. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 748-751.
- 4. Aldrich, J. V. In *Burger's Medicinal Chemistry and Drug Discovery*; M. E. Wolff, Ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: 1996; Vol. 3: Therapeutic Agents.
- 5. Bosch, J.; Bonjoch, J. Heterocycles 1980, 14, 505.
- 6. Bertha, C. M.; Flippen-Anderson, J. L.; Rothman, R. B.; Porreca, F.; Davis, P.; Xu, H.; Becketts, K.; Cha, X.-Y.; Rice, K. C. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 1523-1537.
- 7. Bertha, C. M.; Ellis, M.; Flippen-Anderson, J. L.; Porreca, F.; Rothman, R. B.; Davis, P.; Xu, H.; Becketts, K.; Rice, K. C. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2081-2086.
- 8. Zimmerman, D. M.; Gidda, J. S.; Cantrell, B. E.; Schoepp, D. D.; Johnson, B. G.; Leander, J. D. *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, 37, 2262-2265.
- 9. Martinelli, M. J.; Peterson, B. C.; Hutchison, D. R. Heterocycles 1993, 36, 2087-2097.
- 10. Evans, D. A.; Mitch, C. H.; Thomas, R. C.; Zimmerman, D. M.; Robey, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5955-5956.
- 11. Gu, X.-P.; Nishida, N.; Ikeda, I.; Okahara, M. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3192-3196.
- 12. Nakai, T. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 1978, 36, 49.
- 13. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.92 (d, 3H, 9-CH₃), 1.76 (d, 1H, H4α), 2.23 (dd, 1H, H8), 2.33 (s,3H, NCH₃), 2.37 (dd, 1H, H4β), 2.38 (dd, 1H, H3), 2.43 (d, 1H, H6), 2.50 (q, 1H, H9), 2.62 (d, 1H, H6), 2.72 (m, 1H, H3), 2.97 (d, 1H, H8), 3.10 (m, 1H, H1), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.75 (dd, 1H, ArH), 6.87 (s, 1H, ArH), 6.92 (d, 1H, ArH), 7.25 (dd, 1H, ArH).
- 14. Barnett, C. J.; Copley-Merriman, C. R.; Maki, J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4795-4800.